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In the mass media, medical genetics is often represented in terms of great promises of a healthier, and therefore, ‘better’ future for the humankind. The public representations of genetics suggest a view where locating genes for cancer, cardio-vascular diseases, or certain behavioral characteristics, will lead to better cure for diseases. On television, the metaphors of medical genetics ‘conquering the unknown’ and genes as ‘keys’ or ‘secrets of life’ are backed by visual images of scientists in white lab coats conducting experiments in the laboratory with highly technical equipments. The metaphors and visual images derive from the collective imagery of medical genetics, and reconstruct this imagery over and over again (Van Dijck, 1998).

Metaphors and visual images play a crucial role in the communication of scientific and technological issues to wider publics. Metaphors and visualizations are used to popularize and concretize the otherwise complex practice of genetic research, and the otherwise invisible genes. They are also effective ways of addressing the audiences in their multiple roles (Michael, 1999), and constructing linkages between the past, the present, and the future (Brown et al., 2000). Discussing genes in terms of ‘letters in the book of life’ (Condit, 1999; Van Dijck, 1998), and using images from inside the laboratory suggest science as a global endeavor in fighting against the ‘nature’ by means of civilization (books, technical equipment). Both metaphors and visuals seem to suggest modern view of ‘science as progress’ (conquer the unknown, news visuals from the laboratory where the ‘breakthrough’ took place). Despite the crucial role of metaphors and visual images, they have seldom been studied together.

This paper traces the uses of metaphors and visual images in the construction of popular images on medical genetics. The aim is to discuss what kind of an image on science in general, and medical genetics in particular these metaphors and visuals suggest. The popular images, I argue, build upon a complex interplay between the popular metaphors and visual imagery on medical genetics. The interplay between visual images and metaphors is discussed in relation to the construction of links between the past, the present and the future as well as addressing the audiences. Substantially, the study is based on the coverage of medical (human) genetics on Finnish television news in 1987-2000.

The Interplay Between Metaphors and Visual Images

In the mass media, science is often portrayed and discussed in terms of steady progress: scientific advance consists of a succession of innovations, which can overcome all sorts of obstacles (Nelkin & Lindee, 1995; Rosner & Johnson, 1995). This popular image points in two different directions depending on the context of use: either in the direction of positive knowledge and control, or in the direction of relentless progress that is out of control. Where medical genetics is constantly discussed in terms of ‘discovering the secrets of life’ and ‘conquering the unknown’, agricultural biotechnology is often depicted in terms of progress that is out of control: ‘scientists are playing God’ and ‘creating new Frankenstein’s monsters’ (Hellsten, 2002)¹. These metaphorical narratives suggest different views on the ‘goal’ of science, the expectations of future (Van Lente, 2002).

Metaphors are used to concretise and popularise techno-scientific issues for wide audiences. Metaphors suggest a particular view on science as prac-
these roles simultaneously. First, as tax-payers they are addressed in all aspects of life’ may justify the funding for genetics. On television news, the audience is addressed in all aspects of life as laboratory practice invites the lay people to construct the images of laboratory in accordance with the popular images of science as an expert-driven, techno-scientific endeavor.

In general, metaphor means discussing complex, abstract or otherwise incomprehensible issues in terms of more concrete issue (Lakoff & Johnson, 1980). Metaphors provide us with a point of departure and one possible perspective on issues. They restrict the possible perspectives on issues by suggesting a specific perspective on issues (Burke, 1989). The perspective suggested is always a partial view on issues. Similarly, visual images always represent issues in specific contexts: specific laboratories where certain scientists conduct certain experiments. The laboratory and the research conducted therein, is a means to gain control over the uncertain future (Wyatt, 2000; Van Lente, 2000). Hence, metaphors and visuals are used in constructing continuity between the past, the present, and the possible futures.

The metaphors and visualizations address the audiences in complex ways. Representing genetics as laboratory practice invites the lay people to ‘witness’ scientific practice. At the same time, the lay people are also addressed as potential consumers for the biomedical applications, as tax-payers and citizens funding the research (Michael, 1998). Similarly, the metaphors of ‘breakthroughs’ and ‘steps’ on the road invite the audience to witness science whilst the metaphors of genes as ‘the keys of life’ may justify the funding for genetics. On television news, the audience is addressed in all these roles simultaneously. First, as tax-payers they have to be convinced about the importance of the research, second, as consumers about the usefulness of the expected outcomes of the research, and finally, as witnesses and interested spectators of the research.

Sometimes, the role of metaphors and visual images seems to be complementary: the lack of popular metaphors may increase the need of popular visualizations and vice versa. The DNA molecule has a popular image of a double helix, the cell becomes visible in the microscope, but the gene is more difficult: Who knows what a gene looks like? Therefore, there seems to be a constant need for metaphors in providing a popular imagery on genes, and genetics as an effort to locate and modify these genes.

In summary, metaphors, and visual elements are needed for restricting the complex nature of techno-scientific issues, such as genetics. The main focus of this study is on the interplay between the metaphors and the visual used in the coverage of medical genetics on television news. The interplay between the metaphors and visuals is interesting because, first, they are effective tools of science popularisation, second, they provide links between the past, the present and the future, and, third, they allow addressing the audiences in several roles at the same time. The research questions are: What is the role of metaphors and visuals in the construction of the popular images of medical genetics? What kinds of pasts, presents and futures these images suggest? How is the audience addressed in the news?

**Medical Genetics on Finnish Television**

The study focuses on medical genetics represented on Finnish television news. The public opinion towards genetics in Finland is one of the most positive in Europe (Eurobarometer, 1997; 2000). In contrast to many other European countries, the media coverage in Finland is consensus seeking (Rusanen et al., 2001). This holds true for television, too. The main function of the news is not to organize public debate around medical genetics, but to report on the latest news on the location of specific genes, new acts taken by the EU and implemented in Finland in regard to biotechnology, or the development of genetic testing and screening. These special characteristics of Finnish news on medical genetics are reflected in the use of metaphors and visuals.

Biotechnology and genetics gained wider attention in the Finnish mass media only in the late 1980s when the Academy of Finland granted fund-
ing for biotechnology research for a five years period (1987-1992). This analysis, therefore, covers the years 1987 to 2000. The material is collected from the archives of the Finnish Public Broadcasting company, YLE. The key words used in the search were ‘gene’, ‘biotechnology’, and ‘genetics’. For this study I have selected news items that deal with medical genetics. This resulted in altogether 52 news items that are here analysed qualitatively.

The news items can be categorized under four distinct story-lines (Hajer, 1995) or interpretative packages (Gamson & Modigliani, 1989). The most common story-line consists of reports on ‘gene discoveries’, i.e. successful location of specific genes. The tone refers often to the possible future applications of the ‘discovery’. Second come stories on gene tests and genetic treatments that deal with already existing applications of medical genetics. Third, biotechnology was also represented as a new, emerging economic issue. This story-line aimed at highlighting biotechnology as a national project in Finland. Fourth, the regulation of medical genetics was the main theme in only three news items. Each of these story-lines has slightly different key metaphors and visualizations, and I will discuss them under separate sections in the following analysis (see Figure 1).

In the early reports biotechnology was discussed as an emerging, promising field in which Finland could become a ‘forerunner’. Discoveries of genes, and their potential applications gained wider attention on Finnish television from the early 1990s. The main focus was on the role of Finnish research institutes, research groups or individual researchers in medical genetics. Regulation of genetic research, including ethical issues dealing with genetics gained far less attention on Finnish television. This is in concordance with survey results, such as the Eurobarometer surveys. According to the Eurobarometer surveys (1997; 2000), Finland is one of the most positive in its attitudes towards modern biotechnology and genetics. Also the newspaper coverage in Finland has been positive towards genetics (Rusanen et al., 2001).

Gene Discoveries

The most common story-line focused on locating and discovering specific genes for cancer, genetic background for Alzheimer’s disease, multiple sclerosis, migraine, diabetes and epilepsy as well as more appealing stories on the location of ‘gay’ gene (TV news July 16, 1993) or the obesity gene (TV news August 10, 1995). The cancer research concentrated mostly on colon and breast cancer, but also more general, basic research on the genetic background for cancer. These stories were often reported as ‘steps’ in ‘the scientific progress’ towards getting medication to certain diseases.

For example in a report on the gene that may cause multiple sclerosis, MS, journalist Paksula reported, “this is a step towards the solution, but that solution is not near as yet” (TV news October 24, 1992). The idea of discoveries of genes as steps taken on the road towards curing diseases was repeated constantly. The location of a gene that increases the risk to get Alzheimer’s disease was reported, “This is not the solution but a step towards the solution…” (journalist Tanninen on TV news August 13, 1993). Locating the gene for diastrophy

Figure 1. Medical Genetics on Finnish Television News, 1987-2000
was covered as a huge step, “...is considered as a breakthrough because the same method can be applied to finding other disease genes.” (TV news September 22, 1994). In the case of locating a gene that increases the risk to tumors, the journalist Damström reports, “cancer research took again a small step forward” (TV news December 30, 1997). All these metaphors raise a promise for cure, somewhere there in the future. The promise of a healthier future is escaping to the distant future.

While the metaphors for locating genes were the same for all types of disease, the visualizations of cancer research and more specific genetic conditions differed from each other. Research on the role of genes that may cause cancer are visualized mainly via scientists conducting research in the laboratory, and lay people as a mass of anonymous people, the potential customers and patients of the research. The research on multiple sclerosis, Alzheimer’s disease and diastrofia, in turn, are visualized via the patients, and their family. For example, the story on the location of the gene for Alzheimer’s disease, referred to as ‘the Alzheimer risk gene’ in the news, gets a powerful visualisation from an interview with a recently arrived patient who cannot recall when and by whom she was taken to the nursing home, but just says, smiling, that ‘it could be stated that there is a man saying, and you go away.’ (TV-news November 9, 1995). In both cases, medical doctors and nurses took the role of mediating between the lay people and the scientists, i.e. taking responsibility over the applications of the research and informing scientists about certain patient groups with genetic diseases.

There are several genetic diseases that are specific to Finland, and research on these diseases gained wide attention on Finnish television. These include two fatal children’s brain diseases (AGU and INCL), and two eye disease. Also, MS disease, and schizophrenia and specific types of cardiovascular diseases are far more common in certain parts of Finland than the average in the world. In these stories, the main visual narrative was similar to cancer research: anonymous people walking on the streets (‘us’ the Finnish people), and on the other side, scientists conducting research in the laboratories.

This Finnish ‘disease heritage’ was sometimes referred to as an excellent ‘material’ for genetics – or as Francis Collins (TV news May 29, 1995) put it, “The population of Finland is derived from a rather small sample of original founders, perhaps no more than 500 about 2000 years ago. That means that the genetic structure of the population is more homogenous than in most other populations. I think Finland could be seen as a genetic laboratory for the whole world.” This statement did not cause any further debate on television news.

In summary, the main metaphors were those of scientific journey as constant progress represented as ‘breakthroughs’ and ‘steps towards solutions’. The main visual story-line concentrated on scientists in the laboratory. Scientists took two roles as either anonymous researchers conducting experiments in the lab, or the head of the research project interviewed in the laboratory. Lay people also took two roles in the news: anonymous masses of people as potential consumer-patients or more specific patient groups via a representative of these groups (see Table 1 for the summary of the results). The visualizations provided the sense of ‘presence’ to the metaphors that provided tools for imagining the future.

**Gene Tests and Treatments**

The second story-line focused on genetic tests and treatments. Where locating certain genes was conceptualized as the first step that may lead to effective medication, the stories on gene tests and treatments focus on already existing applications of medical genetics. Seven of the altogether ten stories dealt with genetic treatments, and three with gene tests.

The first news story on gene treatment, broadcast in May 20, 1993, dealt with an experimental treatment given to two babies suffering from SCID, severe immunology deficiency, in the United States. The experiment was reported in terms of a ‘breakthrough’, and visualized via the newborn babies and their parents in the hospital environment. In Finland, the first gene treatment for a patient suffering from brain cancer, was conducted two years later, and reported on television news on the 10th of May and 14th of July, 1995 as a ‘significant breakthrough’, and visualized via hospital. Developing new medication against AIDS was also covered in terms of, ‘advances in the fight against AIDS... a gene that may protect from AIDS is a new way to treat AIDS.’ (TV news, August 9, 1996). The metaphors used were similar despite the different diseases that were treated.

The stories on gene tests in the United States (TV news July 8, 1996) and in Finland (April 04, 1997) raised also ethical questions. In the United States, the ethical question dealt with possible discrimination based on gene tests. In Finland, the question was about what would be the good target group for the tests. Both stories were visualized via
the expected target group: family with genetic condition, and pregnant women, respectively. Generally, however, the attitude towards gene tests was positive. For example, journalist Papinniemi reported, “Genetechnology is a good thing. Gene tests help in anticipating diseases, and cancer may be won in an early stage.” (TV news May 7, 1998).

Main metaphors were those ‘breakthroughs’ and ‘advances in the fight against diseases’. Main actors in the visuals were medical doctors and nurses, on the one hand, and patients, on the other hand. The most common places were medical health care centers and hospitals (see Table 1). All these imply addressing the audience as possible patients of the treatments, and as concerned citizens.

Biotechnology as National Project
The story-line of biotechnology as an emerging field and national project of excellence carries a strong economic aspect. In the late 1980s the news reports dealt with importance of investing in the emerging field whereas the reports of the late 1990s covered the entering of the biotechnology companies into the exchange markets and the importance of biotechnology industry to the Finnish economy.

At the early phase it was typical in the news to refer to ‘biotechnology’ as a monolithic bloc without separating medical and agricultural strands. For example, one of the first news accounts reports, “Biotechnology may help in finding solutions to the world nutrition problems, to over production in world agriculture, to environmental problems in forest industry and to find new effective vaccinations against, for example, virus diseases” (TV news January 30, 1987). In this early phase the reporting is highly positive and focuses on biotechnology as an emerging field loaded with high expectations of rapid progress to curing diseases.

The first biotechnology center was established in Finland in 1988 (TV news, January 30, 1987; February 16, 1988). In the early 2000s the first Finnish biotechnology company, Biotie Therapies, (“Bioroad” in English), entered the exchange markets (TV news June 12, 2000). The importance of biotechnology to the Finnish economy was also three months later (TV news September 13, 2000).

In summary, the main metaphors were those of ‘entering to new markets’ and ‘emerging field of expertise’. The main visualizations were mainly outdoor pictures of the biotechnology companies. The main interviewees were the heads of the companies, or the leaders of the research groups. (see Table 1).

Here, the main way to address the audiences is as tax-payers, and possible investors in biotechnology.

Regulation of Genetics
Regulation of genetics is closely linked to the issue of genetic testing and screening as these are the issues that call for regulation, mostly. The stories here cover mainly the various phases of the regulatory process on the research on human genetics in 1987-2000. The Gene Technology Act was implemented in Finland in 2000 replacing the older act from the year 1995. The Board for Gene Technology was established in 1995 by the Ministry of Social Affairs and Health.

The first news report on the rising need for regulating genetics was broadcast already in 1987, in the context of an international conference that took place in Finland. The reporter says, "Genetic research needs clear rules of the game…on how much the nature can be modified according to human needs.” Similarly, the establishment of the Board for Gene Technology in Finland was reported as, “The Ministry of Social Affairs and Health aims at establishing a National Advisory Board on Health Care Ethics to set common rules of the game for euthanasia, fertility treatments, gene tests and organ transplantations…” (TV news January 28, 1998).

Here, medical genetics is represented as a game that needs to be controlled by non-scientific institutions in society.

In the story-line of regulation, the main metaphors were those of ‘setting the rules for the game’. The main visuals dealt with politicians and policy-makers represented in the Ministry of Health and other political environments (Table 1). Citizens are addressed as spectators for the political process.

In summary, there were two main visual narratives: the first starts from general picture of anonymous people as mass, either walking on the streets or gathering in shopping centers or the like, then proceeds to general shots of the laboratory, and to the interview(s) of the head of the research group(s). Graphics, roentgen pictures and other relevant illustrations are often used in between. The other visual narrative starts from the laboratory and proceeds to the patients via the interview with the head of the project. The role of these visual elements is to show ‘here it happened’, ‘science needs all these technical equipments’, ‘scientific expertise helps us, the lay people’. It appeals to the popular images of science as trustworthy and expert-driven activity worth funding because of its possible future outcomes. This
Discussion: Medical Genetics and the Promise of Health

In conclusion, the interplay between the metaphors and the visuals popularized medical genetics, and provided an effective means to address the audiences in several roles as well as to construct links between the past, the present and the future. Where the metaphors suggest the imaginary futures, the visualizations add an element of authority and legitimation to the news stories. The metaphors and the visualizations together create powerful images on medical genetics.

The metaphors and visual images used on the television news differed according to the ‘place’ of the action. Where the discovery of locating genes takes place in the laboratory, applying these discoveries takes place in hospitals and health care centers, regulating medical genetics takes place in policy-forums, and biotechnology as commercial enterprise takes place in the markets. These different social categories for the action were supported by the different uses of the metaphors as well as different visualizations of the topic. The metaphors and visual images used contributed also to different ways to address the audiences, and suggested different time orientations. The laboratory is something to be witnessed and possibly later consumed, the hospital is a place to provide accurate cure for patients, the markets is a place to invest in, and finally political regulation is to be witnessed by concerned citizens. Ethical considerations take place outside of the laboratory, thus separating scientists and other social institutions. Scientists experiment, others discuss the consequences of scientific practice.

Scientists took two positions in television news: Either there were anonymous researchers and assistants filmed in the laboratory surrounded by technical equipment, or then the leaders of the project(s) or heads of the laboratory interviewed for the news. This hierarchical construction of the research team remained the same over time. Lay people also adopted two roles in the news: either as anonymous mass walking on the streets while the intro to the news story tells about new research that may help to provide medication against some prevalent diseases such as migraine or diabetes while in the news on particular single-gene diseases such as diastrophy as well as such complex diseases as Alzheimer, patients are represented as organized to patient groups, and interviewed as representatives of that disease group.

All in all, the story-lines and the related metaphors and visualizations seem to contribute to a very modern image of science as an expert-driven activity that is conducted in highly technical laboratories and aim at ‘solving’ problems. This image resonates with the science fiction images of science as well as other ‘popular’ images on science. The idea of health was taken for granted in the news broadcasts: locating genes that may cause diseases was dealt as uncontested, positive activity towards

| Table 1. The Role of Metaphors and Visualization in the Construction of the Popular Images of Medical Genetics in Finnish Television News, 1987-2000 |
|------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| **Gene Discovery** | **Tests & Treatments** | **National Project** | **Regulation** |
| Metaphors: | Steps toward solution, conquering the unknown | breakthroughs war against diseases | entering new markets | game |
| Visual images: | | | |
| 1. The place | laboratory | hospital/health care center | biotechnology companies | ministries/EU |
| 2. Scientists | laboratory experts/researchers | medical doctors | head of the company | politicians |
| 3. Lay people | anonymous mass/patients | patients | – | – |
| Addressing the audiences: | Witnesses as consumers | patients | tax-payers | witnesses as |
| Main orientation: | Future | present-future | past-present-future | present |
improving ‘everyone’s life’. Genetics is for ‘common benefit’ of the human kind that should be funded because it is promising, future oriented action. Only if it is funded here and now, our future may be controlled.

Whose interests does the reporting on genes and genetics serve? I would say it serves the interests of scientists who want to secure funding for their research also in the future. The promise of a healthier future, the message was, is worth funding.

Notes
1. Subsequently, the Eurobarometers (1997 and 2000) show that medical genetics is often perceived in more positive terms than agricultural biotechnology.
2. Italics added.
3. Later on, the reporting shifted into the important role of Finnish researchers and research groups in discovering the genes. These stories are here analysed as parts of the frame of ‘discovering and locating the genes’.
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